केन्द्रीय स्वास्थ्य सेवा औषधालयों में मुख्य चिकित्सा अधिकारी

श्री सूरज प्रसाद :

1720. **श्री राम नरेश कुशवाहा :** क्या स्वास्थ्य और परिवार कल्याण मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) दिल्ली में केन्द्रीय स्वास्थ्य सेवा के कुल कितने औषधालय हैं और कितने औषधालयों के लिए एक मुख्य चिकित्सा अधिकारी नियुक्त किया जाता है ; और

(ख) क्या यमुना पार क्षेत्र के चार औषधालयों के लिए दो मुख्य चिकित्सा अधिकारी नियुक्त किये गए हैं, यदि नहीं तो इसके क्या कारण हैं ?

मानव संसाधन विकास मंत्री तथा स्वास्थ्य और परिवार कल्याण मंत्री (श्री पी० वी० नरसिंह राव) : (क) दिल्ली में विभिन्न चिकित्सा पद्धतियों के केन्द्रीय सरकार स्वास्थ्य योजना के कुल औषधालयों की संख्या इस प्रकार है :—

एलोपैथिक	80
आयुर्वेदिक	5
होम्योपैथिक	5
यूनानी	3

दिल्ली में केन्द्रीय सरकार स्वास्थ्य योजना के औषधालयों के लिए मुख्य चिकित्सा अधिकारियों के 18 पद मंजूर किए गए हैं।

(ख) यमुना पार क्षेत्र के अत्यधिक कार्यभार वाले दो औषधालयों अर्थात् शाहदरा और जी०के०जी० में दो मुख्य चिकित्सा अधिकारी तैनात किए गए हैं।

Amendment of the drugs and Cosmetics Act, 1982

1721. SHRI VALAMPURI JOHN: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Central Government has got itself impleaded in the cases wherein injunctions have been granted by High Courts resulting in the inoperation of the crucial amending provisions in the Drugs and Cosmetics Act, 1982 in the light of Supreme Court's observations;

(b) if so, what are the details; and

(c) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT AND THE MINISTER OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO): (a) to (c) 15 Writ Petitions are pending in different High Courts due to stay orders given by the High Courts against the Notifications issued by the Government to prohibit manufacture and sale of different categories of fixed dose combinations of drugs. In all these Writ Petitions Union of India/Drug Controller (India) are also one of the respondents alongwith the State Government concerned. In view of the directions given by the Supreme Court the concerned Central Government Advocates have been requested to bring to the notice of the High Court the Supreme Court directions for speedy disposal of the pending cases.

Details of the pending cases are annexed. [See Appendix CXLII Annexrue No. 85]

Manufacturing of Estrogen and Progestrone

1722. SHRI VALAMPURI JOHN: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) what are the names of the companies which manufacture Estrogen and Progestrone tablets and injections;

(b) whether it is fact that the formule under which these medicines/injections are manufactured are injurious to health, if so, what are the reasons for which these companies are allowed to manufacture these medicines and if not, what are the reasons for not informing the public about the correct position;

(c) whether any investigation has been undertaken into these formulations by the Drug Controller of India in the light of Supreme Court Judgement; and

(d) if so, what are the findings thereof and if not, what are the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT AND THE MINISTER OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO): (a) Companies manufacturing combinations of Oestrogen and Progesterone and promoting for indications other than Oral Contraceptive are M/s. Infer (India) Ltd., M|s. Unichem Ltb. and M|s. Nicholas Laboratories.

(b) Government initiated action to ban fixed dose combination of Oestrogen and Progesterone except those used for contraception. Some firms filed writ petitions in the High Court and obtained stay orders against this decision from the High Court in respect of their formulations.

(c) and (d) The Supreme Court has recently directed the Drugs Controller (India) to consider whether combinations of Oestrogen and Progesterone pose danger to the public and should be banned or not. Accordingly the Drugs controller (India) has already held two public Hearings on 5th February, 1987 and 10th April, 1987 respectively. The Drugs Controller (India) has requested the Supreme Court for extension of time six months to study and evaluate these documents, so that a decision in the matter can be finalised in consultation with experts.

Court cases filed by Employees of Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan

1723. SHRI YALLA SESI BHUSHANA RAO:

SHRI ASHOK NATH VERMA:

Will the Minister of HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) what is the total number of cases filed by different categories of employees of Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan in various High Courts of the country as on the 31st March, 1987;

(b) details of these court cases pending as on above date, subject, category and High court-wise; and

(c) what is the total amount of expenditure incurred by the Sangathan annually on this account during the last three years?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF EDUCATION AND CULTURE IN THE MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (SHRIMATI KRISHNA SAHI): (a) and (b) 67 as on 31-3-1987. Details are at Annexure, [*See* Appendix CXLII, Annexure No. 86]

(c) The expenditure is incurred by the concerned Kendriya Vidyalayas and records are not maintained at the Headquarters of the Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan. However, the information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the Sabha.

Swiss Donation for setting up of a Home for orphans in Assam

1724. SHRI PARVATHANENI UPENDRA: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that money donated by the Swiss Red Cross to the Indian Red Cross Society to set up a home for orphans in Assam following the Nellie massacre in 1983, has been returned to the Swiss Red Cross; and

(b) if so, what are the reasons for the non-utilisation of the Swiss donation?

THE MINISTER OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT AND THE MINISTER OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO): (a) and (b) The Indian Red Cross Society received